



Non-Proliferation Project: Home | Staff | Publications | Events | Moscow Center

COUNTRY RESOURCES

China
Iran
Iraq
Israel
Korean Peninsula
Libya
South Asia
Russia

WEAPONS

Biological Weapons Chemical Weapons Fissile Materials Nuclear Weapons Missile Proliferation Missile Defense

ONLINE RESOURCES

Congress
Administration
Terrorism
Government Resources
Threat Assessments
Treaties & Agreements
Non-Proliferation Links
Reading List

NUCLEAR NUMBERS

Global Proliferation Status Map Nuclear Status Map Bio-Weapons Map Chemical Weapons Map World Missile Chart

DEADLY ARSENALS

NEWS & ANALYSIS

Analysis Archive News Archive

Publications

The Sum of All Nuclear Fears

Proliferation Brief, Volume 5, Number 10 Thursday, May 30, 2002

The latest Tom Clancy-based film, "The Sum of All Fears," promises to be more than just the latest thriller. The film is based on a frightening premise, and raises the important question: Could terrorists acquire a lost nuclear bomb, smuggle it into the United States and detonate it on American soil? The short answer is yes.

To measure the potential for life imitating art, consider four basic questions. Could a country lose a nuclear weapon? Are any missing now? Could a terrorist group get expert help to make a bomb work? Could a bomb be smuggled into the United States? The answers are alarming.

Could a country lose a nuclear weapon?

In fact, it has already happened. The United States has lost several nuclear devices, including one left in the Sea of Japan after an A-4 jet plane rolled off of the aircraft carrier Ticonderoga in 1965. Two more weapon cores were lost over the Mediterranean Sea in the 1956 when the B-47 bomber carrying them disappeared without a trace. While there is no clear evidence, we believe Russia may have also lost nuclear weapons, but no reliable information exists on losses from Russia, China or other nuclear weapon states. The condition of nuclear accounting in Israel, India and Pakistan is an even greater mystery.

Are weapons missing now?

We simply don't know. While the United States is working with Russia to help secure their nuclear weapons, there is no accurate way to know if they are all accounted for. Estimates of Russia's tactical nuclear stockpile - small weapons that are easy to move and hard to track - range from 3,500 to 15,000. Aside from weapons, we do know that nuclear weapon-usable materials have been stolen and have found their way onto the black market.

If a terrorist group got a weapon or materials, could they get expert help making it work?

Yes. It has long been recognized that the hardest part of producing a nuclear weapon is acquiring the nuclear materials. The rest is engineering. Russia continues to maintain a huge nuclear complex employing thousands of underpaid, demoralized people. Surveys show that more than 80 percent of this technologically advanced population make less than \$50 a month, and that 14 percent would be willing to do military work "for others." It would take only a few of these experts to make a terrorist's nuclear fantasy come true.

But could a stolen and jury-rigged weapon get into the United

Despite their best and heightened efforts, U.S. Customs officials acknowledge that there is no way to check every ship, plane and cargo container that arrives here every year. With more than 16 million containers to check, and more than 12,000 miles of coastline and 14,000 airports to control, the sheer magnitude of the task suggests that there is a reasonable chance a bomb could get through. Ask any drug smuggler with a small plane.

After answering these four tough questions, the next question is obvious: What can be done? As the adage says, the best defense is a

Related Events

Beyond Mutual
Assured Destruction:
Reducing RussianAmerican Nuclear
Tensions (Thursday,
May 20, 2004) A new
study, presented by Dr.
Sergey Rogov, Director of
the Institute of the USA
and Canada.

Examination of Global Proliferation Strategy

(Thursday, February 05, 2004) 12:15 – 2:00 p.m. David Kay, Joseph Cirincione, Rose Gottemoeller, and Robert Litwak to speak at Carnegie

WMD in IRAQ: Evidence and Implications

(Thursday, January 08, 2004) Authors present findings of new report, click for audio from the event.

Recent Developments in Cross-Strait Relations (Thursday, November 13, 2003)

Dr. Tsai Ing-wen, chairperson of the Mainland Affairs Council in Taiwan, delivered her remarks on the current state of US-China-Taiwan relations on November 13. This forum was cosponsored by the School of Advanced International Relations at Johns Hopkins University.

Related Publications

Looking for Threats in All the Wrong Places

(Tuesday, September 07, 2004) Proliferation Brief, Volume 7, Number good offense. The best way to keep a nuclear weapon from being smuggled into the United States is to prevent it from being stolen in the first place. This means the United States, Russia and all nuclear weapon states need to do a better job of controlling nuclear weapons, and they need to eliminate as many as possible.

Unfortunately, this reality has not yet informed U.S. actions with regards to the Russian nuclear situation, as evidenced by the arms reduction treaty signed last week by Presidents Bush and Vladimir Putin. This agreement does little to reduce the real nuclear dangers facing both countries and may, in many respects, make the threat even worse.

Preventing Nuclear Terrorism?

The United States is helping Russia secure weapons and materials, but the work is going much too slowly. Moreover, the Bush administration is sending Russia and other states mixed messages with its plans to retain large numbers of nuclear weapons and to develop a new generation of mini-nukes.

The new U.S.-Russian treaty limits the number of weapons deployed on missiles and bombers, but does not require or provide transparency or security over the elimination of any weapons. In Russia, it is likely that warheads will simply be moved from active deployment to relatively insecure warehouses.

Ten years from now, both nations will each still have more than 10,000 nuclear weapons. The two presidents have missed a historic opportunity to set up an international exchange of data on nuclear stockpiles, as well as mutual, verifiable elimination of warheads. As a result, more nuclear weapons will remain in circulation and in storage—weapons that might some day be lost, stolen or sold—than could have been the case. The two must now set their governments to follow up this initial pact with real verification and security measures to ensure that weapons no longer targeted at the United States don't find their targets in another way.

Movies can make us laugh, cry and even feel. This one, above all, should make us think and act.

This article is adapted from an opinion-editorial that first appeared in the Charlotte Observer, the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel, and the St. Paul Pioneer Press.

Joseph Cirincione and Jon Wolfsthal are co-authors of "Deadly Arsenals: Tracking Weapons of Mass Destruction" and are, respectively, senior associate and associate with the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Additional Resouces:

- Deadly Arsenals: Tracking Weapons of Mass Destruction (June 2002)
- "Securing Nuclear Weapons and Material: Seven Steps for Immediate Action," by by Matthew Bunn, John P. Holdren and Anthony Wier, Project on Managing the Atom, Harvard University, May 2002 (pdf)
- "Toothless, Nameless Treaty," by Jon Wolfsthal, Carnegie Analysis, 24 May 2002
- "Flash! Treaty Will Not Eliminate Weapons or Reduce Arsenals," by Joseph Cirincione, 20 May 2002
- "The New U.S. Russian Nuclear Agreement," Carnegie Proliferation Brief, Vol.5, No. 9, 14 May 2002
- "The Sum of All Fears," Carnegie Advanced Screening, 14 May 2002
- "Nuclear Terrorism and Warhead Control In Russia," by Jon Wolfsthal and Tom Collina, Arms Control Today, April 2002
- "The Demand for Black Market Fissile Material," by Matthew Bunn, Carnegie Analysis, 6 November 2001
- Russia Country Resources Page

Niger Uranium: Still a False Claim (Thursday, July 29, 2004) Proliferation Brief, Volume 7, Number 12

The Importance of Inspections (Monday, July 26, 2004) Proliferation Brief, Volume 7, Number 11

A Safer Form of Deterrence and Security (Tuesday, July 13, 2004) Proliferation Brief, Volume 7, Number 9